<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/608,856	ÝANG, JIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel Broda	2123
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap i) or other appropriate communication RIGHTS. This application is subject to 3 and MPEP 1308.	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS o withdrawal from issue at the initiative
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Applicant's Official A</u>	imenament & Response sent via tacs	simile on 23 March 2005.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>3-9,11-15,21-24 and 30-38</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 30 June 2000 are accepted by the 8	Examiner.	
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have a large of the certified copies of the priority documents have a large of the certified copies of the priority documents have a large of the priority documents have a larg	re been received. re been received in Application No becoments have been received in this received in this received in this	national stage application from the
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be subminFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6.	es reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient.
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper		948) attached
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date	- ,	•
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	's Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	DSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL R FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	must be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Notice of Information	atent Application (PTO-152)
Notice of Preferences Cited (F10-992) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Paper No./Mail Dail	le
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No./Mail Date		·
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	•	ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	4
		Shada
	S,	AMUEL BRODA, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Serial Number: 09/608,856

Art Unit: 2123

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's Official Amendment & Response (the

"Amendment") sent via facsimile on 23 March 2005. In the Amendment, claims 3-4, 11-13, 21-

23, and 30-31 were amended; claims 1-2, 10, 16-20, and 25-29 were canceled. Claims 3-9, 11-

15, 21-24, and 30-38 are pending.

Examiner's Amendment

2.1 An Examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to Applicants, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37

CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than

the payment of the issue fee.

The Examiner's amendment changes the language of the preamble of independent claims

11 and 35 to more clearly identify the statutory subject matter of the claim.

Authorization for this Examiner's amendment was given in a 3 June 2005 telephone

conversation with Mr. Lawrence Mennemeier, Reg. No. 51,003.

2.2 The application has been amended as follows:

In Claim 11, line 1, change:

"method"

<u>to</u>:

--computer-implemented method--.

In Claim 35, line 1, change:

Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

"method"

<u>to</u>:

--computer-implemented method--.

Reasons for Allowance

3. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art of record shows:

- (1) a set of transformations for strongly connected subgraphs and a method of strengthening a strongly connected subgraph and determining reachability (Sipma et al, "Deductive Model Checking");
- (2) the encoding of data-space constraints used to decompose verifications by sets of case splits and to restrict verifications by validity conditions (Aagaard et al, "Formal Verification Using Parametric Representation of Boolean Constraints"); and
- (3) the verification of an instruction-length marker using the combination of symbolic trajectory evaluation and lightweight theorem proving (Aagaard et al, "Combining Theorem Proving and Trajectory Evaluation in an Industrial Environment").
 - 3.1 Applicant's first set of claims consists of claims 3-9, 11-15, and 21-24.

Art Unit: 2123

Independent claim 3 is directed to a computer software product for strengthening a first antecedent label for an edge in an assertion graph; independent claim 11 is the corresponding computer-implemented method claim; independent claim 21 is the corresponding system claim. Each independent claim identifies the distinct limitations of: "joining any pre-images for antecedent labels of outgoing edges from the edge in the assertion graph" and "keeping, in the strengthened antecedent label for the edge, states already contained by the first antecedent label for the edge and also contained by the joined pre-images of antecedent labels of any outgoing edges from the edge."

Because the closest prior art does not appear to teach or suggest strengthening antecedent labels using pre-images of antecedent labels, claims 3-9, 11-15, and 21-24 are deemed allowable.

3.2 Applicant's second set of claims consists of claims 30-31.

Independent claim 30 is directed to a verification system. This independent claim identifies the distinct limitations of: "strengthen an antecedent label for an edge in an assertion graph" and "concretize the first simulation relation computed for the edge to produce a second simulation relation for the edge."

Because the closest prior art does not appear to teach or suggest strengthening antecedent labels for an edge to produce a second simulation relation for the edge, claims 30-31 are deemed allowable.

3.3 Applicant's third set of claims consists of claims 32-34.

Art Unit: 2123

Independent claim 32 is directed to a verification system. This independent claim identifies the distinct limitation of: "to strengthen one or more antecedents representing pre-existing states and stimuli for an assertion, and to verify a justification property of the assertion using the one or more strengthened antecedent."

Because the closest prior art does not appear to teach or suggest verification of justification properties using strengthened antecedents, claims 32-34 are deemed allowable.

3.4 Applicant's fourth set of claims consists of claims 35-38.

Independent claim 35 is directed to a computer-implemented method. This independent claim identifies the distinct limitations of: "strengthening said at least one antecedent of the assertion" and "verifying said justification property using the at least one strengthened antecedent and said at least one consequence."

Because the closest prior art does not appear to teach or suggest verification of justification properties using strengthened antecedents and consequences, claims 35-38 are deemed allowable.

4. Any comments considered necessary by Applicants must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2123

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Samuel Broda, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached at (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

SAMUEL BRODA, ESQ. DRIMARY EXAMINER